

# Biological Pest Control: Advantages and Challenges in the Arab World

Ayad A. Al-Heeti<sup>1</sup> and Yehia A. Osman Ellazeik<sup>2</sup>

## Summary

Worldwide, the annual economic losses due to the agricultural pests are estimated in billions of dollars. Practices of pest control are as old as farming itself. The chemical pesticides were major component of the green revolution, but increasing awareness in its impact on the environment and people health has revealed the importance of reducing the reliance on pesticides. Necessity of having pest control method rather than pesticide is evident. Hence great efforts have been given to have strategies and practices for pest control that are friendly to environment and socially accepted. Biological control is believed to be vital, healthy and sustainable practice. It is therefore, considered to be the most important tactic in any successful integrated pest management project. This article is indented to focus on the general concept of biological control (conventional and modern), its advantages, components, and its practices, challenges, opportunities and promotion strategies in the Arab World.

**Keywords:** Biological control; Integrated pest management; Biotechnology and pest control.

## 1. Introduction

Plant pest control practices are dated back as old as farming itself. Annual economic losses due to pests are estimated in billions of dollars in the World. There are up to 10'000 insect, 100'000 pathogen, and 30'000 weed species competing human on agriculture (AOAD, 2002). Chemical pesticides are large component of agricultural revolution. In recent years, however, increasing awareness of the impact of chemical pesticides use on the environment and human health has resulted in efforts to reduce reliance on chemical control (WHO/UNEP, 1989). Many countries have instituted more strength regulations on pesticides, manufactures, registrations and uses. Therefore, the need for alternative pest control practices to reduce chemical pesticide use is evident (Hoy and Herzog, 1985).

Under natural conditions and seasonal change, there are natural "checks and balances" on population of all living things. Weather is one of the important factors in natural death (too hot, too cold, too dry, or too wet), lack of food often causes death, and many organisms are killed by others organisms (predators, parasites, and pathogens (diseases organisms)). (Tjamos *et al.*, 1992; Bellows & Fisher, 1999 and Hoy & Herzog, 1985).

When organisms are killed by other organisms with no interference or intervention by human is known as natural biological control. All pests have natural enemies and environmental constraints that limit their population size, providing natural control, that it is why we are not knee-deep in pests all the time {having pests of non economical importance (secondary or of less damage)}. Natural control is usually only noticed when it is lost. Loss of natural biological control results from the miss management practices i.e. indiscriminate use of pesticides, changes in agronomic practices, and alteration of habits, or lacking requirements of natural enemies (Bellows and Fisher, 1999).

Biological control on other hand as it will be referring to it from this point involves human activities to enhance the effectiveness of the natural enemies.

Biological control simply means the use of living organisms to suppress pest populations, making them less damaging than they would otherwise be. It can be used against all types of pests, including invertebrates, plant pathogens and weeds as well as insects (Tjamos *et al.*, 1992; Bellows & Fisher, 1999 and Hoy & Herzog, 1985). This definition is referred to what is known as "classical biological control". The new innovations and continuously developed techniques such as microbial and plant pesticides, transgenic crops, genetic engineering for improving natural enemies and utilization of ecological approaches whatever, refine biological pest control. These new innovations though are considered to be under the scope of biological pest control technologies. (Bellows and Fisher, 1999).

This article is indented to focus on concept and advantages of biological control technologies, and its practices, challenges and opportunities in the Arab World with emphasis on insect control.

## 2. The Classical Biological Control

### 2.1. The Early Historical Perspective:

Biological control is not a new concept (Doutt, 1964 and Haffaker & Messenger, 1976). It is dated back as early as 500 B.C; the Chinese were placing bamboo poles between nests of predaceous ant (*Oncophylla samadina*) and Citrus trees to make it easier for ants to prey on scale insects. In 1750's the British and French transported mynah birds from India to Mauritius to control locusts. Well taught that biological control projects were becoming numerous in the mid to late 1800's. Biological control in the Arab World was also practiced by date palm growers in "Tihama" region of Yemen long before the discovery of pesticides, the farmers in Yemen are used to transport nests of predatory ants from Africa to the date palm growing areas to control pests of dates.

From the First World War until 1960's when pesticides were plentiful and cheap, biological control was somewhat forgotten. However, since 1960's the interest in biological

1. Division of Applied Agricultural Research, Arab Authority for Agricultural Investment and Development (AAAID), P.O. Box 2102 Khartoum, Sudan, Fax: (+249) 183 772600. E-mail: aalheeti@aaaid.org  
2. Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, Egypt. E-mail: osmlazeik@yahoo.com

control it has been renewed (Bellows and Fisher, 1999). The commercialized bio-control agents of insect pests are listed in Table (1).

**Table 1.** Number of the natural enemies of the major insect pest groups that a tact most of the economic crops and natural vegetation

Pest	No. of natural enemy
Aphids	13
Beetles	5
Garden Snails	2
Bugs	6
Caterpillars	13
Insect eggs	5
Leaf hoppers	3
Mealy bugs	6
Mites	11
Psyllids	5
Scales	8
Trips	3
Whiteflies	7

## 2.2. Advantages:

Biological control of pests offers a number of advantages over other pest control practices, particularly pesticides as follows:

- It is safe to the environment and the farmer and there are no residues.
- It is frequently economical; often we are manipulating something to favor naturally occurring control.
- It is usually permanent element (sustainable).
- Biological control agents are frequently host specific.

## 2.3. Weaknesses:

- It is often slow. If a pest population is already at or above economically damaging level, pesticides are frequently the only suitable answer. Careful advance planning however, is usually necessary for successful biological control.
- Biological control agents do not completely eradicate (eliminate) the host, if so, the two would die. Therefore we must get used to the idea of having a few of the pest species around. However biological control may be integrated with other pest - controls strategies to keep pests below economic levels.
- Crossing over may occur with biological control. That is the biological control agent may live on a desired plant or insect also. Careful selection of the control agent will minimize the problem.
- In the biological control of weeds, the biological control agents are frequently effective only in single - weed situations, and not in multi-weed complexes.

## 2.4. Natural Enemies of Insect Pests:

There are three categories of natural enemies of insect pests:

**2.4.1. Predators:** Insect predation recognized at early stages, insects are an important media for many invertebrates and vertebrates, including birds, fish and mammals and other insects and spiders. These "insectivorous" vertebrate are called predators. The predators are usually:

- Kill multiple prey and often both adults and immature stages are predaceous.
- Polyphagous (attack many species) or they may be fairly specific in what species or kind of prey they will attack.
- Families of insects and other arthropods (200 families).
- Common in all habitats.
- Easily recognized predators such as ladybird beetles, wasps, beetles, spiders and lacewings.
- Less easily recognized- predaceous such of mites, syrphids and flies.

Commercialized predators for insect control are listed in Table (2).


**Table 2.** Commercialized predators of insect pests

Common name	Scientific name
Assassin bugs	Reduviidae family
Bigeyed bugs	<i>Geocoris</i> spp.
Brown lacewings	<i>Hemerobius</i> spp.
Convergent lady beetle	<i>Hippodamia convergens</i>
Damsel bugs	<i>Nabis</i> spp.
Decollate snail	<i>Rumina decollate</i>
Dustywings	<i>Conwentzia barretti</i>
<i>Euseius tularensis</i>	<i>Euseius tularensis</i>
Green lacewings	<i>Chrysopa</i> spp. <i>Chrysoperla</i> spp.
Mantids	Mantidae family
Mealybug destroyer	<i>Cryptolaemus montrouzieri</i>
Minute pirate bugs	<i>Orius</i> spp. <i>Anthocoris</i> spp.
Multicolored Asian lady beetle	<i>Harmonia axyridis</i>
<i>Phytoseiulus persimilis</i>	<i>Phytoseiulus persimilis</i>
Praying mantids	Mantidae family
Predaceous ground beetles	Carabidae family
Predaceous midge aphid midge	<i>Aphidoletes aphidimyza</i>
Sevens potted lady beetle	<i>Coccinella septempunctata</i>
Six spotted thrips	<i>Scolothrips sexmaculatus</i>
Soldier beetles, leather-winged beetles	Cantharidae family
Spider mite destroyer lady beetle	<i>Stethorus picipes</i>
Syrphid flies	Syrphidae family
Twice stabbed lady beetle	<i>Chilocorus orbis</i>
Vedalia beetle	<i>Rodolia cardinalis</i>
Western predatory mite	<i>Galendromus occidentalis</i>

## 2.4.2. Parasites (Parasitoids):

Insect parasitoids not recognized until the turn of the 17<sup>th</sup> century.

Arthropods with an immature stage that develop on/or in



an insect host, and ultimately their adults are free-living and/or predators. The parasites usually (Luck, 1981):

- Kill one pest insect when it develops from egg to adult.
- Almost always the immature stages that kill the host (prey).
- Primarily certain kinds of wasps and flies.
- More than one million species (60'000 Ichneumonid and 40'000 Braconid).
- Have specialized life styles that allow them to find, attack and kill their hosts.
- Attack only one life stage of the host.
- Are less familiar and less easily recognized.

Commercialized parasitoids for insect control are listed in Table (3).

**Table 3.** Commercialized parasitoids of insect pests

Common name	Scientific name
Anaphes species	<i>Anaphes iole</i> , <i>Anaphes nitens</i> , and other <i>Anaphes</i> spp.
Aphidius species	<i>Aphidius</i> spp.
Aphytis spp., armored scale parasites	<i>Aphytis</i> spp.
Bracon cushmani, grape leaffoder parasite	<i>Bracon cushmani</i>
Citrus mealybug parasite	<i>Leptomastix dactulopii</i>
<i>Cotesia medicaginis</i> , alfalfa butterfly parasite	<i>Cotesia medicaginis</i>
Cottony cushion scale parasite	<i>Cryptochaetum iceryae</i>
Elm leaf beetle parasite	<i>Erynniopsis antennata</i>
Hyposoter exiguae, caterpillar parasite	<i>Hyposoter exiguae</i>
<i>Encarsia Formosa</i> , whitefly parasite	<i>Encarsia formosa</i>
<i>Lysiphlebus testaceipes</i> , aphid parasite	<i>Lysiphlebus testaceipes</i>
Tachnid flies	<i>Tachinidae</i> family
<i>Trichogramma</i> spp., egg parasites	<i>Trichogramma</i> spp
<i>Trioxys pallidus</i> , walnut aphid parasite	<i>Trioxys pallidus</i>

**2.4.3 Microbial Control:**

**2.4.3.1. Pathogens:** Insect pests like other animals and plants are infected by pathogens (bacteria, fungi, protozoa, nematodes, mycoplasma, and viruses) that cause diseases (Burges, 1981 and Macoy *et al.*, 1988).

Therefore, utilization of entomopathogenic microorganisms has become an integral part of biological control programs, because these agents are more selective and are highly specific to their pest hosts. Although the use of biotic agents are more than 80 years old, their developments have depended largely on the accumulated knowledge of the biology of such pathogens as viruses, bacteria, protozoa, and fungi (more than 100 species). Economically important results have been obtained mainly with viruses and bacteria during the last two decades against larvae of sawflies, Lepidopteran, Dipteran and Coleopteran-insects. Recent trends in microbial control found great similarity of methods of applications between pathogens and chemical insecticides which prompted the name "microbial insecticide". However, some of the fundamental differences between them are evident in their spread and persistence; vectors; selectivity and safety; host resistance; and evaluation of mortality figures.

**2.4.3.2. Spread and Persistence:** The artificial spread of biotic agents by dusting and spraying is very similar to the application of chemical insecticides. Ground and aircraft equipment producing sprays, fogs, and dusts have been found useful depending on the special situation. The artificial spread of pathogens is recommended where natural spread will be insufficient because the density of the host population is too low to allow satisfactory natural spread. The availability of insect pathogens that can be produced in large masses for use on a commercial scale has shown remarkable progress. *B. thuringiensis* (Bt), *B. popilliae* and *B. lentimorbus*, as well as the fungus *Beauveria bassiana*, and the polyhedral and granular viruses are few examples of the interest to the farmers. Specifications have been developed for safety evaluation as well as for the data required on virulence against the target insect, specificity, processing and purification procedures. Production techniques of microbial pesticides have improved so much that costs are competitive with chemical insecticides. Repeated artificial spread is needed where pathogens do not persist naturally, however, there are diseases that can persist and are self-perpetuating, either unaided by man or after application.

**2.4.3.3. Environmental Persistence:** The pathogen itself is highly resistant as, for instance, viruses in inclusion bodies or bacteria and microsporidia in spores. This type of

persistence against the influences of the environment might be compared to the long-lasting effect of insecticides such as chlorinated hydrocarbons, which remain active in the soil for years e.g. the Nuclear Polyhedral Viruses (NPV) of the cabbage looper (*Trichoplusia ni*) remain viable in the soil for several years. Industry has greatly improved several virus and *B. thuringiensis* preparations by specific formulations which utilize buffers or coating substances to increase their environmental persistence. *B. thuringiensis* is, for example, decidedly short-lived in agriculture; but it can persist in the carcasses of dead insects for subsequent generation.

**2.4.3.4. Biological Persistence:** results from vector activity or from transmission of the pathogen from one generation to the next (vertical transmission). Such transmission occurs frequently with viruses and with microsporidia, more rarely with bacteria. The viruses of some sawflies and the microsporidiosis of the spruce budworm (*C. fumiferana*) are well-known examples. This type of transmission, where it occurs, again demonstrates the great differences between biocontrol agents and chemical insecticides. There are frequently called short-term and long-term biocontrol agents, but transitions occur, *B. thuringiensis* usually obtains short-term control and is generally preferred for use near cities because of its harmlessness to man and animals. The general conclusion seems to be warranted that any search for new natural enemies in other areas should automatically include a search for pathogens from the target host and related species.

**2.4.3.5. Vectors:** In the transmission of diseases, predators or parasites may play a role as vectors. Vectors which naturally spread a disease are not easily assessed as to their efficiency. We know that the passage of some pathogens even through the intestinal tracts of such predators as voles, birds, and insects does not inhibit their infectivity. Parasitic Hymenoptera can transmit microorganisms into hosts, either when feeding or during egg deposition. The spread of pathogens from dead carcasses, from excrement, or in several other ways shows how an epizootic may be furthered by vector activity. As a matter of course, we judge the value of biotic agents by the direct mortality they produce. A special case of vector activity is that of the nematode *Neoplectana carpocapsae*, commonly designated as DD-136 (Dutky *et al.*, 1962 and Poinar, 1985). These worms carry insect-pathogenic bacteria. Apparently, the relationship is symbiotic. A wide range of insects is attacked by the nematode and subsequently by the septicemic infection.

**2.4.3.6. Selectivity and Safety:** Some of the insect pathogens are highly specific and limited to one host species, as are several viruses of sawflies of coniferous trees. Others, like *Bacillus popilliae*, causing the milky disease of Japanese beetle grubs, or *B. thuringiensis*, infect a group of related species. *B. thuringiensis* primarily attacks open-feeding lepidopterous larvae, dipteran larvae and coleopteran larvae (Heimpel, 1967; Heimpel & Angus, 1960 and Krieg, 1971). This broader specificity is advantageous when it allows us to combat several pests with one pathogen. At the same time, beneficial insects are not affected and the natural balance is not disturbed as with long-lasting broad-spectrum insecti-

cides. *Beauveria* fungi proved to be efficient biocontrol agent, too. Furthermore, all microbial preparations so far used against insects are safe to men and domestic animals. This removes the problem of toxic residues and of unintentional poisoning of vertebrates (including man) by careless handling of such preparations which contrasts with most insecticides.

**2.4.3.7. Host Resistance:** The emergence of pests that are more or less tolerant to microbial pesticides is much more difficult to arise compared to chemical pesticide which encouraged the selection of genetic strains better able to survive their action. This because during the interplay of a chemical compound and a pest insect, the insect alone is capable of genetic change; however, in the struggle between pathogen and host (insect), both have the possibility of changing - toward greater pathogenicity or greater tolerance, respectively. In addition, selection by pathogens is probably never as extreme as that by insecticides, because other natural enemies continue to exert pressure. Thus, theoretically, increased tolerance against pathogens should occur more rarely than against insecticides, or not at all. Actually, studies have shown that tolerance against some microorganisms can be increased by continuous laboratory selection, e.g. the large white butterfly *Pieris brassicae* became resistant to a granular virus. In nature, however, where the selection is neither so one-sided nor so enduring, reduced susceptibility of insect populations was never observed to be the permanent effect of high mortality by a pathogen. Although there is reason for an optimistic outlook, care has to be taken when pathogens like *B. thuringiensis* are cultured in an artificial medium for an immense number of generations. The counterbalance between pathogen and host is disrupted, and mutants of different pathogenicity may appear. Permanent supervision of such processes is required, to assess both their effect on insects and their harmlessness to warm-blooded animals.

**2.4.3.8. Evaluation of Mortality Figures:** The differences between biological and chemical control methods are abundantly clear following application, when the effect of the treatment is measured. The interval between spraying and mortality is usually longer with pathogens and immediate mortality cannot be used to judge the value of the method. Not only the speed, but also the degree of mortality is sometimes lower after applications of microbial pathogens than after insecticides. In such cases, latently infected individuals are frequently needed to carry over the epizootic from one generation to the next. Overall, we may say that microbial pathogens offer several new possibilities for agricultural-pest control in the Arab world.

## 2.5. General Characteristics of the Effective Natural Enemy:

A natural enemy must have a number of characteristics, in order to be successful used in a biological control program. These are (Frisbie & Smith, 1991 and Hokkman & Pimetel, 1984):

- With the exception of pathogens, the natural enemy must have a high searching capacity.

- Distribution of the natural enemy must be similar to the distribution of the host.
- It would not perform to import a natural enemy into an area where it could not survive.
- The life cycle of the natural enemy should be in synchrony with that of the host.
- The natural enemy should have a high reproductive rate and short development period.
- The natural enemy must be easy to mass rear or grow under laboratory conditions.
- The natural enemy should be easy to disperse.

## 2.6. Adoption of Biological Control:

There is a sequence of steps in the successful adoption of biological control that should be taken into consideration in any biological pest control project. These steps ensure that the so-called beneficial does not turn out to be a pest in itself. These steps are as follows:

### 2.6.1. Studying and Literatures Reviewing:

The first step is to study the literatures and looking for other areas where the targeted pest is not of economic importance there (it is not a pest). One usually needs to look no further than the origin of the host plant. In their nature habitat, host and pest have usually reached a natural balance. When an area is found where host and pest live in harmony, research nearly always includes exploration for natural enemies. Finding effective natural enemies in the host plants native habitat concludes the first step.

### 2.6.2. Importation or Introduction:

The next step in the biological control process involves importation of the natural enemy (enemies) "introduction of exotic natural enemies to control pests". This is because:

- Many of our worst pests are exotic - they become a problem because they arrive without their natural enemies.
- Most native natural enemies do not "switch" to the new species, so natural control doesn't work in this case.
- Exotic natural enemy can establish between a pest and it's - standing natural enemy.
- Ideally is to return to the origin home of the pest and find one or more of the biotic factors that keep the population in check there.

Importation involves a quarantine period for all imported organisms (Fisher and Andres, 1999). Such question as where it can live and reproduce its spectrum of potential hosts etc. must be answered. This step is necessary so that we do not import "solutions" that become more serious "problems" (Clausen, 1978).

### 2.6.3. Augmentation:

Means "adding numbers and/or kinds of natural enemies"(existing natural enemies may be present but not numerous or effective enough). Such a release may be used merely to improve the natural enemy/pest ratio.

#### 2.6.3.1. Approaches for Augmentation:

##### 2.6.3.1.1. Inundation:

- Mass rear and release natural enemy.
- Overwhelm the pest and provide a remedial, knock-down effect.

##### 2.6.3.1.2. Inoculation:

- Release small numbers early in pest cycle.
- The natural enemy reproduces through a season and keeps pest numbers low over a longtime - usually over the season.

##### 2.6.4. Conservation:

**Conservation:** means keeping or enhancing those natural enemies already present in the landscape. Through conservation practices, we create conditions that enable the control agent to stay and live in the target area. Knowing the biology and ecology of the natural enemies is important (Altieri and Letourneau, 1999). This will enable us to provide suitable protective sites of survival, especially during the off seasons. Appropriate cultural practices and selective use of pesticides can help conserve natural and introduced biological control agents.

##### 2.6.4.1. Tactic for Conservation:

- Alter management favor practices such as strip cropping or poly culture.
- Alter harvesting, non- crop habitats and not mowed or sprayed edges.
- Alter using and timing of pesticides.
- Help natural enemies; provide food (non- host) like sugar, nectar or pollen sources.
- Landscaping, plant crop varieties that "friendly" to natural enemies (Dufour, 2000) .

## 3. Modern Biotechnology and Pest Biological Control

Biotechnology is the science of modifying genetic composition of plants, animals and microorganisms. Historically, biotechnology has relied on conventional plant and animal breeding practices to modify genetic composition. Modern biotechnology, however, relies on newer technique as genetic engineering to incorporate genetic material from one organism into another (Bekendorf and Hoy, 1985). Biotechnology has been applied to enhance pest control in a number of ways, including the following (Dufour, 2001 and Wagye, 1997):

### 3.1. Plant-Incorporated Protectants (PIPS):

Some plants and other organisms naturally contain protein or other chemicals that serve as a natural defense against pests. Such chemicals and proteins can also be introduced to plants either through the conventional breeding of sexually compatible plants or through techniques of modern biotechnology.

### 3.2. Genetically Modified Microbial Pesticides:

Genetically modified microbial pesticides by manipulations are directed to improve the performance of an engineered producer, whose DNA has been modified to express pesticide properties relative different to its "wild type" by:

- Broadening the target spectrum of the product.
- Increasing the speed of action of the product.
- Improving the delivery of the product to the pest.

### 3.3. Herbicide-Tolerant Crops:

Weed control is one of the farmers' biggest challenges in crop production, because poorly controlled weeds drastical-

ly reduce crop yield and quality. To control weeds farmers often use broad-spectrum herbicides. Biotechnology has been applied to create crops that are resistant to certain herbicides. Herbicide tolerant crops contain new genes that allow the plant to tolerate these herbicides.

### 3.4. Improving Pests Natural Enemies:

This has focused principally on pathogens of insects; emphasis has been placed on bacteria and viruses. Research has focused on increasing the host range and virulence of *Bacillus thuringiensis* (BT), and *Xenorhabdus* spp and insect's viruses.

### 3.5. Genetic Improvement of Biocontrol Agents:

Genetic improvement or enhancement of biological control agents would include all sorts of natural enemies; i.e. microbes, parasites and/or predators.

**3.5.1. Natural populations** of insects exist in a state of continuous change; dynamic; not only in numbers, sex ratios, age classes, and other phenotypic changes, but also the genetic composition is modified by each individual that drops out or is born into the group. Artificial manipulation or Selection of better strains of populations of insects has been tried in two directions: (a) to improve them; or (b) to make them less fit for survival. The breeding of predators or parasites varieties better suited to a certain purpose has long been exercised similar to what has been with domestic animals, including silkworms and honeybees. Improving the efficiency of predators or parasites by selection has been done in four steps: 1) determination of characters that need improvement; 2) provision of adequate genetic variability; 3) satisfactory selection procedures; and 4) maintenance of the integrity of the new strain in the field. As mentioned above, the accumulation of as much genetic variability as possible is the modern basis for the importation of beneficial insects. From this pool, natural selection will preserve the strains that are superior under the prevailing environmental conditions. A good start, however, is necessary and the numbers released should be able to compete with the existing population (Cory and Myers, 2000).

**3.5.2. Transgenic Crops.** Production of transgenic or genetically modified crops represents a new trend in biological control. In that respect, genes encode for potential insecticidal proteins are integrated into the plant chromosomes in which plants acquire resistance to pest damages; e.g. Bt genes in cotton. A number of potential benefits and risks are associated with the use of transgenic crops in agricultural production systems. Among the benefits cited are reductions in broad-spectrum insecticide use, improved suppression of target pests, improved yields, reductions in production costs, and increased opportunities for biological control. There are also potential risks, including out crossing through pollen drift, horizontal transfer of transgenes to other organisms, food safety, loss of susceptibility to Bt toxins in target pests, and direct or indirect detrimental effects on non-target organisms. A Three years comprehensive study (1999-2001) was completed to examine non-target effects of Bt cotton in Arizona, USA, with emphasis on the natural enemy complex. Replicated studies evaluated three

criteria in paired: 1) Bt and non-Bt cotton plots; 2) abundance and diversity of non-target organisms, and 3) effects on natural enemy function. Results failed to demonstrate any consistent or statistically-significant changes in any of these criteria in Bt cotton, emphasizing the economics and safe use of Bt-cotton plants. Analyses of collected data indicated that natural enemy abundance and overall arthropod diversity were not directly affected by transgenic cottons in comparison with non-transgenic cottons, but were affected by the use of additional insecticides for other pests. The study also confirmed that natural enemy function, measured as rates of predation and parasitism on two key pests (*Pectinophora gossypiella* [Saunders] and *Bemisia tabaci* [Genadius]) of cotton in the western United States, is unaffected in Bt cotton. However, other food producing transgenic plants need more detailed studies to calm consumers and allay their fears (Tabashnik *et al.*, 2006).

The classical biocontrol methods constituted of the three basic approaches importation, augmentation and conservation of natural enemies. However, the ever changing needs of pest management require continuous development of specific techniques within these approaches to meet new challenges. The use microbial pesticides, and transgenic plants, genetic improvement of natural enemies, utilization of new ecological theories, all will refine the adaptation of most appropriate biological control approaches and applications to be fulfill our needs.

This leads us to adapt a comprehensive pest control strategy, namely: integrated pest management program (IPM).

## 4. Integrated Pest Control Methods

Integrated pest management (IPM) is a process consisting of the balanced use of cultural, biological, and chemical procedures that are environmentally compatible, economically feasible, and socially acceptable to reduce pest populations to tolerable levels. It has been successful in combating several pests under field conditions when applied, for example in the USA. Practicing IPM can reduce the quantity of chemical pesticides entering the environment and can save money. IPM is based on taking preventive measures, monitoring the crop, assessing the pest damage, and choosing appropriate actions. Many different tactics are used in IPM, including cultural practices, biological control agents, chemical pesticides, pest-resistant varieties, and physical barriers.

### 4.1. Why Practice IPM?

Here are some reasons for use of IPM than just the use of chemicals.

- **Keep a balanced ecosystem.** Every ecosystem, made up of living things and their non-living environment, has a balance; the actions of one creature in the ecosystem usually affect other, different organisms. The introduction of chemicals into the ecosystem can change this balance, destroying certain species and allowing other species (sometimes pests themselves) to dominate. Beneficial insects such as the ladybird beetle and lacewing larvae, both of which consume pests,

can be killed by pesticides, leaving few natural mechanisms of pest control.

- **Pesticides can be ineffective.** Chemical pesticides are not always effective. Pests can become resistant to pesticides. In fact, more than 600 cases of pests developing pesticide resistance have been documented to date, including common lamb's-quarter, house flies, the Colorado potato beetle, the Indian meal moth, Norway rats, and the greenhouse whitefly. Furthermore, pests may survive in some situations where the chemical does not reach pests, is washed off, is applied at an improper rate, or is applied at an improper life stage of the pest.
- **IPM Is not difficult** is to practice. The steps used in IPM are similar to other ordinary control methods; you have to figure out the problem (the pest), determined the extent of the damage, and decided on the action to take.
- **Save Money.** IPM can save money through avoiding crop loss (due to pests), and avoiding unnecessary pesticide expense. For example, onion growers who followed IPM recommendations in 1987 saved more than \$23 an acre in insecticide costs.
- **Promote a Healthy Environment.** We have much to learn about the persistence of chemicals in the environment, and their effect on living creatures. However, more cases of contaminated groundwater appear each year, and disposal of containers and unused pesticides still pose challenges for applicators. Even though long-term documentation on the effects of all pesticides is still unavailable, it is generally agreed that fewer pesticides means less risk to surface water and groundwater, and less hazard to wildlife and humans.
- **Maintain a good public image.** Recent public outcry about the use of growth regulators and the presence of pesticide residues on produce has heightened pesticide applicator awareness of the level of public concern about chemicals. Growing food under integrated pest management can help calm public concerns.

## 5. Biological Pest Control in the Arab World

### 5.1. Situation (AOAD, 2002):

Despite the fact that:

- Adoption of biological control in Arab region is reported to be among the earlier successful examples in the world,
- Biological control has become a widely accepted practices and main component of the management programs worldwide,
- Hundreds of natural enemies have been isolated, identified, studied and registered in Arab countries,
- Increasing awareness on the adverse effect of pesticides, and
- Biological control has been imported and introduced in many Arab countries for quite long time .

\* The rate of biological control adoption in the Arab countries is still very slow and the successful bio-

logical control examples might lack of sustainability, and

- \* With exception to the few successful examples in Syria, Morocco, Egypt and Gulf Countries , there are relatively few biological control examples in other Arab countries. (Table 4).

**Table 4.** Successful bio-control examples in the Arab World

Country	Number of natural enemy	Targeted pests
Algeria	10	5
Bahrain	1	1
Comoros	-	-
Djibouti	-	-
Egypt	24	27
Iraq	12	6
Jordan		
Kuwait	3	3
Lebanon	3	3
Libya	2	2
Mauritania	3	1
Morocco	18	11
Oman	-	-
Palestine	14	8
Qatar	1	1
Saudi	2	2
Somalia	-	-
Sudan	4	3
Syria	48	41
Tunisia	6	7
UAE	6	4
Yemen	6	4

- Not reported  
Source: AOAD, 2002.

### 5.2. Challenges:

There are many and different constrains on the way of biological control in the Arab World, these can be summarized in:

- Lack of adequate number of professional persons .
- Lack of training, and building capacities programs.
- Lack of laboratories, equipments, tools and facilities required for biological pest studies.
- Lack of professional extension services in biological control.
- Economic constrains.
- Institutional constrains.
- Insufficient supported regulations and legislations.
- Strong influence of pesticide industry and trading.
- Absence of proper incentive and effective educational programs.

### 5.3. Opportunities:

- It is well documented that adoption of biological pest control increases the ecological and economic sustainability of farming systems by reducing both risk of crop losses and human health from pesticide use( Fris-

bie and Smith , 1991) .

- Most or even all Arab countries have strong and well designed quarantine laws and facilities.
- The Arab countries of various geographical environmental and rich biodiversity (flora and fauna).
- Registration number of natural enemies' might reach hundreds with proved capabilities and performance.
- There are successful examples in biological control in the region, despite its limited number .
- Investment in commercial production projects of biological control agents and products are profitable worldwide.

#### 5.4. Recommendations and Suggestions:

Successful biological pest control is not an easy business without authentic research on pests, crop and other components of the agro-system. Agro- system from one location to another can not be the same Reliance on effective biological control is the first step towards successful integrated pest management programs. It is therefore, recommended that the agricultural policy makers, research centers, investors and developers in Arab World are be prepared to share the successful of biological control through:

- Taking responsibility and accountability concerning the following questions:
  - Does the intervention reduce the risk of crop losses to a level that is acceptable to farmers?
  - What is the environmental impact?
  - Is there an impact on human health?
- Establishing reference center for promotion biological control technologies, such center must be linked to a network of satellite research facilities located all over the Arab region.
- Establishing enough laboratories of bio-control agents mass' rearing.
- Adopting strong and efficient building capacity programs, training services and effective extension in order to help transfer and disseminate biological practices to all beneficiaries.
- Encouraging and supporting the investment projects in biological control technologies (production, trading, services commercialization).
- Adopting an intellectual property rights and issuing proper registration and regulation laws.

## 6. Conclusion

In conclusion, the classical biocontrol methods constituted of the three basic approaches: importation, augmentation and conservation of natural enemies. However, the ever changing needs of pest management require continuous development of specific techniques within these approaches to meet new challenges. The use microbial pesticides, and transgenic plants, genetic improvement of natural enemies, utilization of new ecological theories, all will refine the adaptation of most appropriate biological control approaches and applications to be fulfill our needs. This leads us to adapt a comprehensive pest control strategy, namely: integrated pest management program (IPM).

## References

- Altieri, M. A. and Letourneau, D. K. 1999. Environmental management to enhance biological control in agroecosystems. In Bellows, T. S. and Fisher, T. W. (In Arabic). Handbook of Biological Control: Principles and Application. Academic Press, San Deigo, CA. P. 1046.
- Arab Organization for Agricultural Development (AOAD). 2002. Utilization of Biological Control to Reduce Environmental Pollution. Workshop, Damascus, 15-17 Dec., P. 97.
- Bekendorf, S. K. and Hoy, M. A. 1985. Genetic improvement of arthropod natural enemies through selection, hybridization or genetic engineering techniques, P 167. In: Hoy, M. A. and Herzog, D. C. (eds). Biological Control in Agricultural IPM Systems. Academic Press, Orland, Florida.
- Bellows, T. S. and Fisher, T. W. 1999. Handbook of Biological Control: Principles and Application. Academic Press, San Deigo, CA. P. 1046.
- Burges, H. D. 1981. Microbial Control of Pest and Plant Diseases. Academic Press. London, New York, Toronto, P. 949.
- Clausen, C. P. 1978. Introduced Parasites and Predators of Arthropods. Pests and Weeds. A World Review. USDA Handbook. No. 480, Washington, D. C., P. 545.
- Cory, J. and Myers, J. 2000. Direct and indirect ecological effects of biological control. Trends in Ecol. Evolu., 15 (4), 137.
- Doutt, R. L. 1964. The historical Development of biological Control. In De Bach, P. (ed). Biological Control of Insect Pests and Weeds. Reinhold Publ. Crop, New York, P. 844.
- Dufour, R. 2000. Farmscaping to Enhance Biological Control. <http://www.attra.ncat.org/attar-Pub/DDf/Framscaping.Pdf>.
- Dufour, R. 2001. Biointensive Integrated Pest Management(IPM).<http://www.attra.ncat.org/attar-pub/pdf.ipm.pdf>.
- Dutky, S. R.; Thompson, J. V. and Hough, W. S. 1962. A new nematode parasite of codling moth showing promise in insect control: Susceptibility of various insects to DD-136 nematode. Proc. Int. Cong. Microbiol., 8, 6.
- Fisher, T. W. and Andres, L. A. 1999. Quarantine, concepts, facilities, procedures. In: Bellows, T. S. and Fisher, T. W. (eds). Handbook of Biological Control: Principles and Application. Academic Press, San Diego, CA., P. 1046.
- Frisbie, R. E. and Smith, J. W. Jr. 1991. Biologically intensive integrated pest management: the future. In: Menn, J. J. and Al Seinhaver. (eds). Progress and Perspective for The 21<sup>th</sup> Century. P. 151. Entomol. Sol. Am., Cent. Symp. Lanham, MD., Entomol. Soc. Am., P. 170.
- Haffaker, C. B. and Messenger, P. S. 1976. The Theoretic-

- cal and Empirical Basis of Biological Control. Academic Press, New York, P. 788.
- Hare, J. D. 1990. Effect of plant variation on behavior - natural enemy interactions. In: Fritz, R. S. and Simms, E. L. (eds). Ecol Evaluation of Plant Resistance. Univ. Chicago Press, Chicago.
- Heimpel, A. M. 1967. A critical review of *Bacillus thuringiensis* var. *thuringiensis* Berliner and other crystaliferous bacteria. Annu. Rev. Entomol., 12, 287.
- Heimpel, A. M. and Angus, T. A. 1960. Bacterial insecticides. Bacteriol. Rev., 24 (3), 266.
- Hokkman, H. and Pimetel, D. 1984. New approach for selecting biological control agents. Canad. Ent., 116, 1104.
- Hoy, M. A. and Herzog, D. C. 1985. Biological Control in Agricultural IPM Systems. Academic Press Inc. Orland. P. 589.
- Kogan, M. 1998. (eds). Integrated pest management: historical perspective and contemporary development. Annu. Rev. Entomol., 43, 243.
- Krieg, A 1971. Concerning "-exotoxin produced by vegetative cells of *Bacillus thuringiensis* and *Bacillus cereus*. J. Invertebr. Pathol., 17, 134.
- Luck, R. F. 1981. Parasitic Insect Introducing as biological control agents for arthropod pests. P. 125. In: Pimetel, D. (ed). Handbook of Pest Management in Agriculture. CRC Press, Boca Roton, Florida, P. 504.
- Macoy, C. W.; Samson, R. A. and Boucias, D. G. 1988. Entomogenous fungi In: Gonolffo, C. I. (ed). CRC Microbial Insecticides CRC Press, Orlando, FL., P. 156.
- Poinar, G. O. Jr. 1985. Neoaplectana intermedia n. sp. (Steinernematidae: Nematoda) from South Carolina. Rev. Nematol., 8, 321.
- Tabashnik, B. E.; Fabrick, J. A.; Henderson, S.; Biggs, R. W.; Yafuso, C. M.; Nyboer, M. E.; Manhardt, N. M.; Coughlin, L. A.; Sollome, J.; Carriere, Y.; Dennehy, T. J. and Morin, S. 2006. Dna screening reveals pink bollworm resistance to bt cotton remains rare after a decade of exposure. J. Econ. Entomol., 99 (5), 1525.
- Tjamos, E. C.; Papavizas, G. C. and Cook, R. J. 1992. Biological Control of Plant Diseases: Progress and Challenges for The Future. Nato ASI Series, Plenum Press, New York and London, P. 462.
- Wagye, J. 1997. What dose biotechnology bring to Integrated Pest Management. Biotech. Develop. Mointor., 32, 1921.
- WHO/UNEP. 1989. Public Health Impact of Pesticides Used in Agriculture. WHO, UNEP, Geneva.

## المقاومة الأحيائية: المزايا والتحديات في الوطن العربي

أياد عبد الواحد الهيتي<sup>1</sup> ويحيى عثمان اللازق<sup>2</sup>

### الخلاصة

تُقدَّر الخسائر السنوية في العالم بسبب الآفات التي تصيب المحاصيل الزراعية ببلايين الدولارات وقد اعتمدت عدة طرق لمكافحة الآفات منذ عهود ما قبل التاريخ لامتهان الإنسان للزراعة. شكَّلت مكافحة الكيماوية للآفات بالمبيدات أحد المكونات الأساسية للثورة الزراعية، إلا أن تعاظم الوعي بالأثر السبيء لاستخدام المبيدات على البيئة وعلى صحة الإنسان أدَّى إلى بذل جهود كبيرة للحد من الاستخدام المفرط للمبيدات وأصبحت الحاجة واضحة لطرق غير كيماوية لمقاومة الآفات. وقد بُذلت جهود مضمينة ومتواصلة بهدف تطوير استراتيجيات وممارسات للمكافحة بطرق غير مضرّة بالبيئة ومقبولة اجتماعياً. وقد اعتبرت تقنيات مكافحة الأحيائية كمارسات حيوية وصحيحة أحد أهم وسائل نجاح نظم الإدارة المتكاملة للآفات. يُلخّص هذا المقال المفهوم العام للمقاومة الأحيائية التقليدية وابتكارات التقانات الحيوية في المجال، والمزايا والتحديات لتطبيقها في الوطن العربي.

1. قسم الأبحاث الزراعية التطبيقية، الهيئة العربية للاستثمار والإنماء الزراعي، ص.ب. 2102 الخرطوم، السودان، فاكس: 183 772600 (+249). بريد إلكتروني: aalheeti@aaaid.org  
2. كلية العلوم، جامعة المنصورة، جمهورية مصر العربية. بريد إلكتروني: osmlazeik@yahoo.com